So far we have been mostly concerned with confidence intervals for proportions.

So far we have been mostly concerned with confidence intervals for proportions.

Often we wish to determine the average of some measure in a population other than a proportion.

So far we have been mostly concerned with confidence intervals for proportions.

Often we wish to determine the average of some measure in a population other than a proportion.

The same problems we mentioned in connection with sampling for proportions are present.

So far we have been mostly concerned with confidence intervals for proportions.

Often we wish to determine the average of some measure in a population other than a proportion.

The same problems we mentioned in connection with sampling for proportions are present.

As a result, sampling is often the only viable option.

So far we have been mostly concerned with confidence intervals for proportions.

Often we wish to determine the average of some measure in a population other than a proportion.

The same problems we mentioned in connection with sampling for proportions are present.

As a result, sampling is often the only viable option.

As before, it is important to determine the accuracy of the results.

As usual, we assume a simple random sample is drawn.

As usual, we assume a simple random sample is drawn. We assume the sampling is done without replacement.

As usual, we assume a simple random sample is drawn.

- We assume the sampling is done without replacement.
- We also assume that the population is large enough that this makes little difference.

As usual, we assume a simple random sample is drawn.

We assume the sampling is done without replacement.

- We also assume that the population is large enough that this makes little difference.
- If you sample from a very large population, sampling with and without replacement are pretty much the same.

As usual, we assume a simple random sample is drawn.

We assume the sampling is done without replacement.

We also assume that the population is large enough that this makes little difference.

If you sample from a very large population, sampling with and without replacement are pretty much the same.

If you sample from a small population, it can make a difference.

As usual, we assume a simple random sample is drawn.

We assume the sampling is done without replacement.

We also assume that the population is large enough that this makes little difference.

If you sample from a very large population, sampling with and without replacement are pretty much the same.

If you sample from a small population, it can make a difference.

There are ways of adjusting the results to allow for this.

Suppose the sample consists of n individuals,

 $(x_1, x_2, x_3, \ldots, x_n)$

Suppose the sample consists of n individuals,

```
(x_1, x_2, x_3, \ldots, x_n)
```

We calculate the sample mean, denoted by \overline{x} , in the usual way:

$$\overline{x} = \frac{x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n}{n}$$

Suppose the sample consists of n individuals,

```
(x_1, x_2, x_3, \ldots, x_n)
```

We calculate the sample mean, denoted by \overline{x} , in the usual way:

$$\overline{x} = \frac{x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n}{n}$$

The only difference from sampling proportions is that the x values are usually not all zeroes and ones.

As before, we want some idea of the accuracy of our estimated mean.

As before, we want some idea of the accuracy of our estimated mean.

In order to calculate this, we need additional information.

Unlike the situation with proportions, knowing the mean does not tell us anything about the standard deviation of the x's.

As before, we want some idea of the accuracy of our estimated mean.

In order to calculate this, we need additional information.

Unlike the situation with proportions, knowing the mean does not tell us anything about the standard deviation of the x's.

The only difference from sampling proportions is that the x values are usually not all zeroes and ones.

There are two common ways of dealing with this problem.

There are two common ways of dealing with this problem.

The first way is to treat the standard deviation of the x's as a known quantity.

There are two common ways of dealing with this problem.

The first way is to treat the standard deviation of the x's as a known quantity.

This may be realistic if you have earlier results from a very large sample.

There are two common ways of dealing with this problem.

The first way is to treat the standard deviation of the x's as a known quantity.

This may be realistic if you have earlier results from a very large sample.

Another possibility is that the population was standardized in some way and the standard deviation is known.

There are two common ways of dealing with this problem.

The first way is to treat the standard deviation of the x's as a known quantity.

This may be realistic if you have earlier results from a very large sample.

Another possibility is that the population was standardized in some way and the standard deviation is known.

Common IQ tests are standardized to have a standard deviation of 15.

Either way, we treat the standard deviation as a known quantity SD_x .

Either way, we treat the standard deviation as a known quantity SD_x .

In this situation, SD_x is assumed to have a constant numerical value.

Either way, we treat the standard deviation as a known quantity SD_x .

In this situation, SD_x is assumed to have a constant numerical value.

If the population follows a bell curve, SD_x is the same as the standard deviation of the bell curve.

Either way, we treat the standard deviation as a known quantity SD_x .

In this situation, SD_x is assumed to have a constant numerical value.

If the population follows a bell curve, SD_x is the same as the standard deviation of the bell curve.

The standard deviation of the mean of a sample of size n is then given by:

Either way, we treat the standard deviation as a known quantity SD_x .

In this situation, SD_x is assumed to have a constant numerical value.

If the population follows a bell curve, SD_x is the same as the standard deviation of the bell curve.

The standard deviation of the mean of a sample of size n is then given by:

$$SD_{\overline{x}} = \frac{SD_x}{\sqrt{n}}$$

The other common situation is that we *do not* know the standard deviation of x.

The other common situation is that we *do not* know the standard deviation of x.

Many times, there is no reliable estimate of SD_x available.

The other common situation is that we *do not* know the standard deviation of x.

Many times, there is no reliable estimate of SD_x available.

In this case, the standard deviation of x must be estimated from the sample.

The other common situation is that we *do not* know the standard deviation of x.

Many times, there is no reliable estimate of SD_x available.

In this case, the standard deviation of x must be estimated from the sample.

This means that the estimates of the mean and standard deviation both come from the sample.

The other common situation is that we *do not* know the standard deviation of x.

Many times, there is no reliable estimate of SD_x available.

In this case, the standard deviation of x must be estimated from the sample.

This means that the estimates of the mean and standard deviation both come from the sample.

When we have estimated both the sample mean and standard deviation from the sample, the estimates are slightly less accurate than if the variance was known.

When we have estimated both the sample mean and standard deviation from the sample, the estimates are slightly less accurate than if the variance was known.

In this case, the sample mean has a distribution similar to a bell curve, but slightly wider.

When we have estimated both the sample mean and standard deviation from the sample, the estimates are slightly less accurate than if the variance was known.

In this case, the sample mean has a distribution similar to a bell curve, but slightly wider.

This distribution is called the *t* distribution, or sometimes *student's t* distribution

When we have estimated both the sample mean and standard deviation from the sample, the estimates are slightly less accurate than if the variance was known.

In this case, the sample mean has a distribution similar to a bell curve, but slightly wider.

This distribution is called the *t* distribution, or sometimes *student's t* distribution

Most statistics books will have a table for the t distribution in the appendix.

When we have estimated both the sample mean and standard deviation from the sample, the estimates are slightly less accurate than if the variance was known.

In this case, the sample mean has a distribution similar to a bell curve, but slightly wider.

This distribution is called the *t* distribution, or sometimes *student's t* distribution

Most statistics books will have a table for the t distribution in the appendix.

It is a fact that the t distribution becomes indistinguishable from the bell curve as the sample size grows.