In 1955, in response to the need of researchers to select samples in an unbiased way, the RAND corporation published a book entitled "1,000,000 Random Digits"

In 1955, in response to the need of researchers to select samples in an unbiased way, the RAND corporation published a book entitled "1,000,000 Random Digits"

While it may be criticized for not having much of a plot, book is still in print: See *www.rand.org/pubs/monograph\_reports/MR*1418/

In 1955, in response to the need of researchers to select samples in an unbiased way, the RAND corporation published a book entitled "1,000,000 Random Digits"

While it may be criticized for not having much of a plot, book is still in print: See *www.rand.org/pubs/monograph\_reports/MR*1418/

For a sample page, see

 $www.rand.org/pubs/monograph_reports/MR1418/samples.txt$ 

In 1955, in response to the need of researchers to select samples in an unbiased way, the RAND corporation published a book entitled "1,000,000 Random Digits"

While it may be criticized for not having much of a plot, book is still in print: See *www.rand.org/pubs/monograph\_reports/MR*1418/

For a sample page, see

 $www.rand.org/pubs/monograph_reports/MR1418/samples.txt$ 

For a very technical description of its construction and validation, see

 $www.rand.org/pubs/monograph_reports/MR1418/index2.html$ 

Why would anyone want such a book?

Suppose you have a group of 100 students and you want to draw a "random" sample of 10

Why would anyone want such a book?

Suppose you have a group of 100 students and you want to draw a "random" sample of 10

What do we mean by "random"? For our purposes we'll take it to mean that each student has an equal chance of being chosen for the sample.

Invariably, if a human is given the task of selecting 10 from the list of 100, some bias will be introduced.

Invariably, if a human is given the task of selecting 10 from the list of 100, some bias will be introduced.

Perhaps they will have a tendency not to choose the first and last entries; perhaps they will select more often from the middle portion of the list.

It is very difficult to achieve a totally unbiased selection.

It is very difficult to achieve a totally unbiased selection.

In this case, using a page from the RAND book, we could take the first 10 pairs of digits on the page (if 00 occurs, just take the next pair).

The important point is that while it seems simple, a collection of truly "random digits" is rather difficult to produce.

The important point is that while it seems simple, a collection of truly "random digits" is rather difficult to produce.

The numbers in the RAND book were subjected to a number of checks based on characteristics that a truly random sequence of digits would have.

The important point is that while it seems simple, a collection of truly "random digits" is rather difficult to produce.

The numbers in the RAND book were subjected to a number of checks based on characteristics that a truly random sequence of digits would have.

For example, not only should the 10 digits should occur with equal frequency for the book as a whole, if we took a subset of the book, the frequencies should not deviate from each other by more than is predicted by the laws of pobability.

The important point is that while it seems simple, a collection of truly "random digits" is rather difficult to produce.

The numbers in the RAND book were subjected to a number of checks based on characteristics that a truly random sequence of digits would have.

For example, not only should the 10 digits should occur with equal frequency for the book as a whole, if we took a subset of the book, the frequencies should not deviate from each other by more than is predicted by the laws of pobability.

The tests used to verify the randomness are described in: *www.rand.org/pubs/monograph\_reports/MR1418/index2.html* 

With the widespread availability of personal computers, lists of random digits have mostly been replaced by computer programs that generate "random" numbers on demand.

With the widespread availability of personal computers, lists of random digits have mostly been replaced by computer programs that generate "random" numbers on demand.

These are more properly called "psuedorandom" numbers because as we will see, the sequence of numbers produced by these programs is deterministic.

With the widespread availability of personal computers, lists of random digits have mostly been replaced by computer programs that generate "random" numbers on demand.

These are more properly called "psuedorandom" numbers because as we will see, the sequence of numbers produced by these programs is deterministic.

That said, if we apply the same tests that RAND used to validate the book of 1,000,000 random digits, presumably the generated sequence would pass.

With the widespread availability of personal computers, lists of random digits have mostly been replaced by computer programs that generate "random" numbers on demand.

These are more properly called "psuedorandom" numbers because as we will see, the sequence of numbers produced by these programs is deterministic.

That said, if we apply the same tests that RAND used to validate the book of 1,000,000 random digits, presumably the generated sequence would pass.

This was not always the case. In the 1970s IBM produced a software product called "Scientific Subroutine Package" (SSP) that had a flawed random number generator.

In most spreadsheet programs, the function RAND() will approximate the following experiment:

"Pick a (decimal) number between zero and one"

In most spreadsheet programs, the function RAND() will approximate the following experiment:

"Pick a (decimal) number between zero and one"

In R, the equivalent is runif(1)

In most spreadsheet programs, the function RAND() will approximate the following experiment:

"Pick a (decimal) number between zero and one"

In R, the equivalent is runif(1)

RAND() approximates this experiment in the sense that it will return one of a large number of possible results (each being a number between zero and one) in a way that each has an equal chance of being chosen.

In most spreadsheet programs, the function RAND() will approximate the following experiment:

"Pick a (decimal) number between zero and one"

In R, the equivalent is runif(1)

RAND() approximates this experiment in the sense that it will return one of a large number of possible results (each being a number between zero and one) in a way that each has an equal chance of being chosen.

In addition, if we produce a sequence of such numbers, even though it is deterministic, it passes tests for randomness like those applied to the RAND sequence.