Recap: One-Way Anova

We will generate artificial data fitting the model:

$$Y_i = \mu + \alpha_1 X_{1i} + \alpha_2 X_{2i} + \alpha_3 X_{3i} + e_i$$

With:

- $α_1 = 4$
- $● α_2 = 1$
- $\alpha_3 = 2$

•
$$\sigma_e = 10$$

Enter the following R statements:

```
mu<-1; alpha1<-1; alpha2<-4; alpha3<-6
x1<-c(rep(1,1000),rep(0,1000),rep(0,1000))
x2<-c(rep(0,1000),rep(1,1000),rep(0,1000))
x3<-c(rep(0,1000),rep(0,1000),rep(1,1000))
e<-rnorm(3000,0,5)
group<-gl(3,1000,3000,labels=c("G1","G2","G3"))
y<-mu+alpha1*x1+alpha2*x2+alpha3*x3+e
art1<-data.frame(y,x1,x2,x3,group)
str(art1)</pre>
```

We can produce a boxplot of the data with the following statement:

 $boxplot(y \sim group)$

We can produce a boxplot of the data with the following statement:

```
boxplot(y \sim group)
```

Now run the aov procedure and print the summary of its output:

 $lm0 < -aov(y \sim group)$; summary(lm0)

We can produce a boxplot of the data with the following statement:

```
boxplot(y \sim group)
```

Now run the aov procedure and print the summary of its output:

 $lm0 < -aov(y \sim group)$; summary(lm0)

On the line beginning with group, the F value and Pr(>F) indicate whether there are any significant differences between groups.

We can produce a boxplot of the data with the following statement:

```
boxplot(y \sim group)
```

Now run the aov procedure and print the summary of its output:

 $lm0 < -aov(y \sim group)$; summary(lm0)

On the line beginning with group, the F value and Pr(>F) indicate whether there are any significant differences between groups.

If Pr(>F) is less than the desired α level of the test (usually 0.05), we reject the mull hypothesis that the group means are all equal.

The means of the variables y, x1, x2, and x3 by group can be obtained by the following statements:

aggregate(art1, by=list(group), FUN=mean)

The means of the variables y, x1, x2, and x3 by group can be obtained by the following statements:

```
aggregate(art1, by=list(group), FUN=mean)
```

From the way we generated the data, these means represent sample estimates of the following parameter values:

- E(Y) for group 1: $\mu + \alpha_1 = 1 + 1 = 2$
- E(Y) for group 2: $\mu + \alpha_2 = 1 + 4 = 5$
- E(Y) for group 3: $\mu + \alpha_3 = 1 + 6 = 7$

Now run the lm procedure and print the summary of its output:

```
lm0 < -lm(y \sim group); summary(lm0)
```

Now run the lm procedure and print the summary of its output:

```
lm0 < -lm(y \sim group); summary(lm0)
```

The numbers in the Estimate column (not produced by the aov function) represents the following in terms of the parameters:

Row	Estimate	Expected Value
(Intercept)	$\mu + \alpha_1$	1 + 1 = 2
groupG2	$\alpha_2 - \alpha_1$	5 - 2 = 3
groupG3	$\alpha_3 - \alpha_1$	7 - 2 = 5

Go to the course web page, then the *Notes and Handouts* section.

Go to the course web page, then the *Notes and Handouts* section.

Right click on the 2009 EPA Mileage Data link and select copy link location

Go to the course web page, then the *Notes and Handouts* section.

Right click on the 2009 EPA Mileage Data link and select copy link location

This should copy the URL for the EPA .csv data file, which is:

http://www.sandgquinn.org/stonehill/MA225/notes/09tstcar.csv

Go to the course web page, then the *Notes and Handouts* section.

Right click on the 2009 EPA Mileage Data link and select copy link location

This should copy the URL for the EPA .csv data file, which is:

http://www.sandgquinn.org/stonehill/MA225/notes/09tstcar.csv

Carefully type the following command in R, but don't hit enter:

epa<-read.table("",sep=",",fill=TRUE,header=TRUE</pre>

We will use a one-way ANOVA to compare city mileage of cars with 4, 6, and 8 cylinders.

We will use a one-way ANOVA to compare city mileage of cars with 4, 6, and 8 cylinders.

First we will create a new dataframe called epa468 containing only city mileage values for vehicles with 4, 6, or 8 cylinders:

epa468<- subset(epa, C.H=="C" & (vpc==4 | vpc==6 | vpc==8))

We will use a one-way ANOVA to compare city mileage of cars with 4, 6, and 8 cylinders.

First we will create a new dataframe called epa468 containing only city mileage values for vehicles with 4, 6, or 8 cylinders:

epa468<- subset(epa, C.H=="C" & (vpc==4 | vpc==6 | vpc==8))

Next we select only records for cars, and keep only mpg and vpc:

```
epa468<- subset(epa468,
car.truck=="C",select=c(mpg,vpc))
```

Now use the aov procedure to run the ANOVA.

We need to treat the variable ${\rm vpc}$ as a factor so we use the <code>as.factor()</code> function:

```
lm0<-aov(epa$468 ~ as.factor(vpc))
summary(lm0)
```

Now use the aov procedure to run the ANOVA.

We need to treat the variable ${\rm vpc}$ as a factor so we use the <code>as.factor()</code> function:

```
lm0<-aov(epa$468 ~ as.factor(vpc))
summary(lm0)
```

We use Tukey's test to compare the means for 4, 6, and 8 cylinders:

```
TukeyHSD(lm0)
```

Now use the aov procedure to run the ANOVA.

We need to treat the variable ${\rm vpc}$ as a factor so we use the <code>as.factor()</code> function:

```
lm0<-aov(epa$468 ~ as.factor(vpc))
summary(lm0)
```

We use Tukey's test to compare the means for 4, 6, and 8 cylinders:

```
TukeyHSD(lm0)
```

The results indicate that each mean is significantly different from the other two

We can estimate the actual difference in city mileage for 4, 6, and 8 cylinder cars by examining the parameter estimates from the linear model.

To compute this, enter:

```
lm0 < -lm(epa$468 ~ as.factor(vpc))
summary(lm0)
```

We can estimate the actual difference in city mileage for 4, 6, and 8 cylinder cars by examining the parameter estimates from the linear model.

To compute this, enter:

```
lm0 < -lm(epa$468 ~ as.factor(vpc))
summary(lm0)
```

The numbers in the Estimate column (not produced by the aov function) represents the following in terms of the parameters:

Row	Estimate	Interpretation
(Intercept)	27.7809	MPG for 4 cyls
as.factor(epa468\$vpc)6	-6.3023	MPG 4 cyl - MPG 6 cyl
as.factor(epa468\$vpc)8	-10.0394	MPG 4 cyl - MPG 8 cyl

We conclude that whether a car has 4, 6, or 8 cylinders makes a significant difference in the mileage.

The estimated mpg values by number of cylinders are:

Cylinders	MPG	Computed as:
4	27.78	-
6	21.48	27.78-6.30
8	17.74	27.78-10.04

Next we consider a model with two discrete predictors.

Next we consider a model with two discrete predictors.

We will then use this model to compare mileage data with two discrete factors, each with two levels:

- Factor 1: car or truck
- Factor 2: city or highway

We will generate artificial data fitting the model:

 $Y_i = \mu + \alpha_1 X_{1i} + \alpha_2 X_{2i} + \beta_1 X_{3i} + \beta_2 X_{4i} + e_i$

With:

- $\alpha_1 = 1$
- $\alpha_2 = 5$
- 𝔅 β₁ = 2
- $\beta_2 = 7$

The expected values for this model are given by the following table:

$$Y_i = \mu + \alpha_1 X_{1i} + \alpha_2 X_{2i} + \beta_1 X_{3i} + \beta_2 X_{4i} + e_i$$

Factor 1: Level 1 Level 2 Factor 2: Level 1 $\mu + \alpha_1 + \beta_1 = 5 + 1 + 2$ $\mu + \alpha_1 + \beta_2 = 5 + 1 + 7$ Level 1 $\mu + \alpha_2 + \beta_1 = 5 + 5 + 2$ $\mu + \alpha_2 + \beta_2 = 5 + 5 + 7$

Enter the following R statements:

```
mu < -5; alphal < -1; alpha2 < -5; beta1 < -2;
beta 2 < -7
x1 < -c(rep(1, 100), rep(0, 100));
x^{2} < -c(rep(0, 100), rep(1, 100))
x3 < -rep(c(rep(1, 50), rep(0, 50)), 2)
x4 < -rep(c(rep(0,50), rep(1,50)), 2)
e < -rnorm(200, 0, 5)
class<-gl(2,50,200,labels=c("2010","2011"))
group<-gl(2,100,200,labels=c("Grp1","Grp2"))
y<-mu+alpha1*x1+alpha2*x2+beta1*x3+beta2*x4+e
art2<-data.frame(y,class,group)</pre>
```

Enter the following R statements:

```
mu < -5; alphal < -1; alpha2 < -5; beta1 < -2;
beta 2 < -7
x1 < -c(rep(1, 100), rep(0, 100));
x^{2} < -c(rep(0, 100), rep(1, 100))
x3 < -rep(c(rep(1,50), rep(0,50)), 2)
x4 < -rep(c(rep(0,50), rep(1,50)), 2)
e < -rnorm(200, 0, 5)
class<-gl(2,50,200,labels=c("2010","2011"))
group<-gl(2,100,200,labels=c("Grp1","Grp2"))
y<-mu+alpha1*x1+alpha2*x2+beta1*x3+beta2*x4+e
art2<-data.frame(y,class,group)</pre>
```

We can get a boxplot of the data with: $boxplot(y \sim group*class)$

We can display the means for the four cells as: aggregate(art2, by=list(group,class),

FUN=mean)

We can display the means for the four cells as:

```
aggregate(art2, by=list(group,class),
FUN=mean)
```

Now run the ANOVA using aov:

 $lm0<-aov(y \sim group+class)$ summary(lm0)

This time the ANOVA table has more rows because we have two factors in the model instead of one (hence the name "two-way analysis of variance"

This time the ANOVA table has more rows because we have two factors in the model instead of one (hence the name "two-way analysis of variance"

Row	df	Mean Sq	F Value	Pr(>F)
group	1	510.88	20.908	8.5e-06
class	1	966.04	39.535	2.0e-09
Residuals	197	24.44		

Two-Way ANOVA

Now we will run a 2 factor model (2-way ANOVA) without interaction on the EPA data using the following two factors:

- Factor 1: Car or Truck (2 levels)
- Factor 2: City or Highway (2 levels)

Two-Way ANOVA

Now we will run a 2 factor model (2-way ANOVA) without interaction on the EPA data using the following two factors:

- Factor 1: Car or Truck (2 levels)
- Factor 2: City or Highway (2 levels)

We can simplify the *R* code by using the attach(epa) statement, or we can just precede each variable name with epa\$.

If we choose not to attach *epa*, the code would be:

 $lm0 < -aov(epa\$mpg \sim epa\$C.H+epa$car.truck summary(lm0)$