Inference About Two Means: Paired Samples

Gene Quinn

When comparing two means, there are two common approaches.

When comparing two means, there are two common approaches.

The first is a *before-after* scenario, where the same subject is measured before and after some experimental treatment.

When comparing two means, there are two common approaches.

The first is a *before-after* scenario, where the same subject is measured before and after some experimental treatment.

Situations where this technique can be applied include:

- Before and after treatment measures on the same individual
- Same store sales in business
- Twin studies
- Any study where each member of sample 1 is carefully matched to a counterpart in sample 2

The second approach assumes that the two samples are collected independently.

No assumptions are made about the relationship of individuals in the first sample to those in the second sample.

The second approach assumes that the two samples are collected independently.

No assumptions are made about the relationship of individuals in the first sample to those in the second sample.

We treat the means of the two samples as independent in this case

The second approach assumes that the two samples are collected independently.

No assumptions are made about the relationship of individuals in the first sample to those in the second sample.

We treat the means of the two samples as independent in this case

Usually, the paired or dependent sample approach is better if you have a choice.

The second approach assumes that the two samples are collected independently.

No assumptions are made about the relationship of individuals in the first sample to those in the second sample.

We treat the means of the two samples as independent in this case

Usually, the paired or dependent sample approach is better if you have a choice.

The reason is that with independent samples, a lot of variation may be introduced because of differences between individuals that have nothing to do with the experiment.

The second approach assumes that the two samples are collected independently.

No assumptions are made about the relationship of individuals in the first sample to those in the second sample.

We treat the means of the two samples as independent in this case

Usually, the paired or dependent sample approach is better if you have a choice.

The reason is that with independent samples, a lot of variation may be introduced because of differences between individuals that have nothing to do with the experiment. In the paired design, these tend to cancel out when we subtract the "before" and "after" measures.

In the paired or dependent sample design, we have two measures on each individual.

In the paired or dependent sample design, we have two measures on each individual.

Computationally, we subtract these measures, and treat the *difference* as the measure of interest.

In the paired or dependent sample design, we have two measures on each individual.

Computationally, we subtract these measures, and treat the *difference* as the measure of interest.

That is, we treat is as having a (possibly approximate) bell curve distribution.

In the paired or dependent sample design, we have two measures on each individual.

Computationally, we subtract these measures, and treat the *difference* as the measure of interest.

That is, we treat is as having a (possibly approximate) bell curve distribution.

If the treatment has no effect, we expect the mean of the bell curve to be zero.

In the paired or dependent sample design, we have two measures on each individual.

Computationally, we subtract these measures, and treat the *difference* as the measure of interest.

That is, we treat is as having a (possibly approximate) bell curve distribution.

If the treatment has no effect, we expect the mean of the bell curve to be zero.

As a result, the sample mean should usually be close to zero.