We will now extend the single-sample hypothesis testing to the case where the population standard deviation σ is unknown.

We will now extend the single-sample hypothesis testing to the case where the population standard deviation σ is unknown.

Computationally the procedure is exactly the same, except that we assume our test statistic has a t distribution rather than a normal distribution.

We will now extend the single-sample hypothesis testing to the case where the population standard deviation σ is unknown.

Computationally the procedure is exactly the same, except that we assume our test statistic has a t distribution rather than a normal distribution.

Recall that the t distribution is nearly the same as the normal or bell curve distribution, particularly for realistic sample sizes.

We will now extend the single-sample hypothesis testing to the case where the population standard deviation σ is unknown.

Computationally the procedure is exactly the same, except that we assume our test statistic has a t distribution rather than a normal distribution.

Recall that the t distribution is nearly the same as the normal or bell curve distribution, particularly for realistic sample sizes.

For example, if n = 10, a very small sample, the difference is less than 15%. At n = 20, it is 6.4%.

We will now extend the single-sample hypothesis testing to the case where the population standard deviation σ is unknown.

Computationally the procedure is exactly the same, except that we assume our test statistic has a t distribution rather than a normal distribution.

Recall that the t distribution is nearly the same as the normal or bell curve distribution, particularly for realistic sample sizes.

For example, if n = 10, a very small sample, the difference is less than 15%. At n = 20, it is 6.4%.

Generally the σ -unknown version requires a slightly larger deviation from the distribution under the null hypothesis to reject.

When to use the "sigma unknown" ver

For tests concerning the mean of continuous data (i.e., not proportions or yes/no variables), with reasonable sample sizes (say, at least 20), you simply cannot commit an egregious error by using the σ -unknown version.

When to use the "sigma unknown" ver

For tests concerning the mean of continuous data (i.e., not proportions or yes/no variables), with reasonable sample sizes (say, at least 20), you simply cannot commit an egregious error by using the σ -unknown version.

The worst that can be said is that if you actually did know σ , there is a small chance you would have been able to reject the null hypothesis in a few cases where it was a close call (i.e., the *p*-value was just a little bit greather than α .

When to use the "sigma unknown" ver

For tests concerning the mean of continuous data (i.e., not proportions or yes/no variables), with reasonable sample sizes (say, at least 20), you simply cannot commit an egregious error by using the σ -unknown version.

The worst that can be said is that if you actually did know σ , there is a small chance you would have been able to reject the null hypothesis in a few cases where it was a close call (i.e., the *p*-value was just a little bit greather than α .

Single sample, sigma unknown

Because of the way the functions associated with the t distribution are implemented, the actual R and spreadsheet formulas for the test statistic are a bit more complicated than they are when σ is known.

Single sample, sigma unknown

Because of the way the functions associated with the t distribution are implemented, the actual R and spreadsheet formulas for the test statistic are a bit more complicated than they are when σ is known.

The easiest (and most reliable) way to deal with this is to use a spreadsheet that is set up correctly.

Single sample, sigma unknown

Because of the way the functions associated with the t distribution are implemented, the actual R and spreadsheet formulas for the test statistic are a bit more complicated than they are when σ is known.

The easiest (and most reliable) way to deal with this is to use a spreadsheet that is set up correctly.

Only the numbers change from problem to problem, and as long as these are entered correctly we can use the same spreadsheet for any problem of this type.

As we did with confidence intervals, we will separately consider the commonly occurring situation where we are dealing with a proportion, that is, a number between zero and one representing the fraction of the population that has a certain characteristic.

As we did with confidence intervals, we will separately consider the commonly occurring situation where we are dealing with a proportion, that is, a number between zero and one representing the fraction of the population that has a certain characteristic.

In this case the null hypothesis will specify a hypothetical proportion, and the test will be based on our sample proportion.

As we did with confidence intervals, we will separately consider the commonly occurring situation where we are dealing with a proportion, that is, a number between zero and one representing the fraction of the population that has a certain characteristic.

In this case the null hypothesis will specify a hypothetical proportion, and the test will be based on our sample proportion.

As with the σ -unknown case, we will rely on a spreadsheet set up specifically for this situation.

As we did with confidence intervals, we will separately consider the commonly occurring situation where we are dealing with a proportion, that is, a number between zero and one representing the fraction of the population that has a certain characteristic.

In this case the null hypothesis will specify a hypothetical proportion, and the test will be based on our sample proportion.

As with the σ -unknown case, we will rely on a spreadsheet set up specifically for this situation.