Estimation

Gene Quinn

A *probability model* generally assumes that experimental outcomes follow a probability distribution.

A *probability model* generally assumes that experimental outcomes follow a probability distribution.

Usually, these distributions involve one or more parameters.

A complete specification of the probability distribution involves these parameters.

A *probability model* generally assumes that experimental outcomes follow a probability distribution.

Usually, these distributions involve one or more parameters.

A complete specification of the probability distribution involves these parameters.

The process of determining suitable values for these parameters is called *estimation* and is a major topic in statistics.

Example: Suppose an experiment has two possible outcomes, success and failure.

Suppose we conduct 10 independent trials of the experiment, and have reason to believe that the probability of success p is the same on each trial.

Example: Suppose an experiment has two possible outcomes, success and failure.

Suppose we conduct 10 independent trials of the experiment, and have reason to believe that the probability of success p is the same on each trial.

If we associate 1 with the outcome "success" and 0 with the outcome "failure", we can state the probabilities associated with these values as:

$$P(X = x) = \begin{cases} p & \text{if } x = 1\\ 1 - p & \text{if } x = 0 \end{cases}$$

Define a vector of outcomes for the 10 trials,

 $x = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{10}\}$

Define a vector of outcomes for the 10 trials,

$$x = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{10}\}$$

One possible result of the 10 trials is the vector

$$x = \{0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0\}$$

Define a vector of outcomes for the 10 trials,

$$x = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{10}\}$$

One possible result of the 10 trials is the vector

$$x = \{0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0\}$$

We now address the problem of estimating the value of the parameter p based on this data.

One approach to this problem is the following:

For the estimate \hat{p} of the parameter p, choose the value that maximizes the probability of obtaining the outcome that actually occurred

One approach to this problem is the following:

For the estimate \hat{p} of the parameter p, choose the value that maximizes the probability of obtaining the outcome that actually occurred

This approach is called the *method of maximum likelihood*.

Define a vector of 10 random variables

$$X = \{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{10}\}$$

Define a vector of 10 random variables

$$X = \{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{10}\}$$

Now define the **likelihood function** of the vector of outcomes x as

$$L(p) = \prod_{i=1}^{10} P(X_i = x_i)$$

Define a vector of 10 random variables

$$X = \{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{10}\}$$

Now define the **likelihood function** of the vector of outcomes x as

$$L(p) = \prod_{i=1}^{10} P(X_i = x_i)$$

Note that the likelihood function depends on the vector of outcomes and the parameter p.

For the vector of outcomes:

$$x = \{0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0\}$$

the likelihood function is:

$$L(p) = [P(X_1 = 0)] [P(X_2 = 1)] [P(X_3 = 1)] \cdots [P(X_{10} = 0)]$$

For the vector of outcomes:

$$x = \{0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0\}$$

the likelihood function is:

$$L(p) = [P(X_1 = 0)] [P(X_2 = 1)] [P(X_3 = 1)] \cdots [P(X_{10} = 0)]$$

Since $P(X_i = 1) = p$ and $P(X_i = 0) = 1 - p$, L(p) is

$$(1-p) \cdot p \cdot p \cdot (1-p) \cdot (1-p) \cdot (1-p) \cdot p \cdot (1-p) \cdot p \cdot (1-p)$$

For the vector of outcomes:

$$x = \{0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0\}$$

the likelihood function is:

$$L(p) = [P(X_1 = 0)] [P(X_2 = 1)] [P(X_3 = 1)] \cdots [P(X_{10} = 0)]$$

Since
$$P(X_i = 1) = p$$
 and $P(X_i = 0) = 1 - p$, $L(p)$ is

$$(1-p) \cdot p \cdot p \cdot (1-p) \cdot (1-p) \cdot (1-p) \cdot p \cdot (1-p) \cdot p \cdot (1-p)$$

Or, collecting like factors,

$$L(p) = p^4 (1-p)^6$$

The graph of L(p) for values of p between 0 and 1 is:





To determine the value of p that maximizes L(p), first evaluate

$$\frac{d}{dp} p^4 (1-p)^6$$

To determine the value of p that maximizes L(p), first evaluate

$$\frac{d}{dp} p^4 (1-p)^6$$

Using the product rule, this is

$$4p^3(1-p)^6 + 6p^4(1-p)^5(-1)$$

To determine the value of p that maximizes L(p), first evaluate

$$\frac{d}{dp} p^4 (1-p)^6$$

Using the product rule, this is

1

$$4p^3(1-p)^6 + 6p^4(1-p)^5(-1)$$

Collecting factors, and equating the result to zero, we get

$$p^{3}(1-p)^{5}\left[4(1-p)-6p\right] = p^{3}(1-p)^{5}\left(4-10p\right) = 0$$

For $p \in (0, 1)$, the equation

$$p^3(1-p)^5(4-10p) = 0$$

is satisfied when

$$4 - 10p = 0$$
 so $p = \frac{4}{10}$

For $p \in (0, 1)$, the equation

$$p^3(1-p)^5(4-10p) = 0$$

is satisfied when

$$4 - 10p = 0$$
 so $p = \frac{4}{10}$

So, the maximum likeklihood estimate of p is:

$$\hat{p} = \frac{4}{10}$$

We can generalize this result to a sequence of n trials that produces k successes.

For 1 < k < n - 1, the likelihood function is maximized when

$$p^{(k-1)}(1-p)^{(n-k-1)}(k-np) = 0$$

is satisfied when

$$k - np = 0 \quad \text{so} \quad \hat{p} = \frac{k}{n}$$

With the obvious extensions to include the cases k = 0 and k = n, we have the maximum likelihood estimate

$$\hat{p} = \frac{k}{n}$$

With the obvious extensions to include the cases k = 0 and k = n, we have the maximum likelihood estimate

$$\hat{p} = \frac{k}{n}$$

Now we can state the following result:

If a series of n Bernoulli trials produces k successes, the maximum likelihood estimate of the parameter p is

$$\hat{p} = \frac{k}{n}$$

Example 2: Exponential distribution

In this case, the density function is

$$f(x) = \lambda e^{-\lambda x}$$

Example 2: Exponential distribution

In this case, the density function is

$$f(x) = \lambda e^{-\lambda x}$$

For a sample $x = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$, the likelihood function is:

$$L(\lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \lambda e^{-\lambda x_i} = \lambda^n \exp\left(-\lambda \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i\right)$$

The graph of $L(\lambda)$ for values of λ is:



Differentiating the likelihood function with respect to λ and setting the result to zero, on solving the result for *lambda* we have the maximum likelihood estimate

$$\hat{\lambda} = \frac{n}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i}$$

for the parameter of the exponential distribution

Differentiating the likelihood function with respect to λ and setting the result to zero, on solving the result for *lambda* we have the maximum likelihood estimate

$$\hat{\lambda} = \frac{n}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i}$$

for the parameter of the exponential distribution

Example 3: Geometric distribution

In this case, the density function is

$$f(x) = (1-p)^{n-1}p$$

Example 3: Geometric distribution

In this case, the density function is

$$f(x) = (1-p)^{n-1}p$$

For a sample $x = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$, the likelihood function is:

$$L(p) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} (1-p)^{x_i-1} p = (1-p)^{\sum x_i-n} p^n$$

The graph of L(p) for values of p is:



Differentiating the likelihood function with respect to p and setting the result to zero, on solving the result for p we have the maximum likelihood estimate

$$\hat{p} = \frac{n}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i}$$

for the parameter of the geometric distribution.

Differentiating the likelihood function with respect to p and setting the result to zero, on solving the result for p we have the maximum likelihood estimate

$$\hat{p} = \frac{n}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i}$$

for the parameter of the geometric distribution.

Example 4: Poisson distribution

In this case, the density function is

$$f(x) = \frac{\lambda^x e^{-\lambda}}{x!}$$

Example 4: Poisson distribution

In this case, the density function is

$$f(x) = \frac{\lambda^x e^{-\lambda}}{x!}$$

For a sample $x = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$, the likelihood function is:

$$L(\lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\lambda^{x_i} e^{-\lambda}}{x_i!} = \frac{\lambda^{\sum x_i} e^{-n\lambda}}{\prod x_i!}$$



lambda

Differentiating the likelihood function with respect to λ and setting the result to zero, on solving the result for λ we have the maximum likelihood estimate

$$\hat{p} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i}{n}$$

for the parameter of the Poisson distribution.

Differentiating the likelihood function with respect to λ and setting the result to zero, on solving the result for λ we have the maximum likelihood estimate

$$\hat{p} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i}{n}$$

for the parameter of the Poisson distribution.

Many important distributions have more than one parameter.

Recall that if a random variable *X* is normally distributed, $X \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$, the density function is:

$$f_X(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}\right)^2\right)$$

For a sample $x = \{x_1, x_2, ..., x_n\}$ from a $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ population, the likelihood function is:

$$L(\mu,\sigma) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}\right)^{2}\right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\left(\sqrt{2\pi\sigma}\right)^n} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{x_i - \mu}{\sigma}\right)^2\right)$$

To maximize $L(\mu, \sigma)$, recall that we set up a system of simultaneous equations in the partial derivatives with respect to μ and σ ,

$$\frac{\partial L(\mu,\sigma)}{\partial \mu} = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial L(\mu,\sigma)}{\partial \sigma} = 0$$

To maximize $L(\mu, \sigma)$, recall that we set up a system of simultaneous equations in the partial derivatives with respect to μ and σ ,

$$\frac{\partial L(\mu,\sigma)}{\partial \mu} = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial L(\mu,\sigma)}{\partial \sigma} = 0$$

The complexity of this type of system is one of the drawbacks of maximum likelihood estimation.

An alternative to the maximum likelihood technique for estimating parameter values is the **method of moments**.

An alternative to the maximum likelihood technique for estimating parameter values is the **method of moments**.

The idea is that in general, the (theoretical) moments of a random variable X,

$$E(X^k) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^k f_X(x) \, dx$$

are functions of the unknown parameters.

If $x = \{x_1, x_2, ..., x_n\}$ is a random sample from some population, define the k^{th} sample moment as:



If $x = \{x_1, x_2, ..., x_n\}$ is a random sample from some population, define the k^{th} sample moment as:



The method of moments estimates are obtained by setting the sample moments equal to the theoretical moments and solving for the parameters.

$$E(X^k) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^k f_X(x) \, dx = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^k$$

Example Using moment-generating functions, we have seen that if $x = \{x_1, x_2, ..., x_n\}$ is a random sample from a $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ population, the sample mean has a normal distribution with mean μ and variance σ^2/n .

In this case, the (theoretical) first moment, E(X), is just μ .

Example Using moment-generating functions, we have seen that if $x = \{x_1, x_2, ..., x_n\}$ is a random sample from a $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ population, the sample mean has a normal distribution with mean μ and variance σ^2/n .

In this case, the (theoretical) first moment, E(X), is just μ .

The method of moments estimate of μ is obtained from the equation

$$E(X^1) = \mu = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$$

So, for a random sample from a $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ population, the method of moments extimate of μ is:

$$\hat{\mu} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^k$$