#### **Confidence Intervals for Means -Known Variance**

Gene Quinn

#### **Interval Estimation**

The maximum likelihood and method of moments estimates we have developed each consist of a **single value** 

#### **Interval Estimation**

The maximum likelihood and method of moments estimates we have developed each consist of a **single value** 

For this reason, they are called *point estimates*.

An obvious drawback of stating a single value is that it gives no information about the precision of the estimate.

#### **Interval Estimation**

The maximum likelihood and method of moments estimates we have developed each consist of a **single value** 

For this reason, they are called *point estimates*.

An obvious drawback of stating a single value is that it gives no information about the precision of the estimate.

To address this shortcoming, a different type of estimate called an *interval estimate* can be used.

An interval estimate consists of:

• An interval (L, U), usually centered at a sample mean

An interval estimate consists of:

- An interval (L, U), usually centered at a sample mean
- A level of confidence that the interval contains the (unknown) parameter

An interval estimate consists of:

- An interval (L, U), usually centered at a sample mean
- A level of confidence that the interval contains the (unknown) parameter

The level of confidence is usually stated as a percentage, say 95%.

An interval estimate consists of:

- An interval (L, U), usually centered at a sample mean
- A level of confidence that the interval contains the (unknown) parameter

The level of confidence is usually stated as a percentage, say 95%.

The interpretation of this number is that, if a large number of samples are taken and a new confidence interval (L, U) is calculated for each of them, 95% of the time the interval will contain the unknown paramter value.

Suppose a random variable X has a normal distribution with mean  $\mu=120$  and variance  $\sigma^2=16$ 

Suppose a random variable X has a normal distribution with mean  $\mu = 120$  and variance  $\sigma^2 = 16$ 

We denote this situation with the notation  $X \sim (120, 16)$ 

Suppose a random variable X has a normal distribution with mean  $\mu=120$  and variance  $\sigma^2=16$ 

We denote this situation with the notation  $X \sim (120, 16)$ 

We are interested in finding an interval centered at  $\mu = 120$  that has an area of 0.95.

That is, an interval [L, U] such that

$$\int_{L}^{U} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}\right)^{2}\right) dx = 0.95$$

Suppose a random variable X has a normal distribution with mean  $\mu=120$  and variance  $\sigma^2=16$ 

We denote this situation with the notation  $X \sim (120, 16)$ 

We are interested in finding an interval centered at  $\mu = 120$  that has an area of 0.95.

That is, an interval [L, U] such that

$$\int_{L}^{U} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}\right)^{2}\right) dx = 0.95$$

It's impossible to find an antiderivative of

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}\right)^2\right)$$

so we can't evaluate the integral directly.

It's impossible to find an antiderivative of

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}\right)^2\right)$$

so we can't evaluate the integral directly.

However, spreadsheets and statistical packages provide functions to evaluate the integral numerically.

The spreadsheet function

=NORMINV $(p, \mu, \sigma)$ 

returns the value  $x_p$  on the x-axis with the property that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{x_p} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}\right)^2\right) dx = p$$

If we are interested in an interval centered at  $\mu$  that contains 95% of the area under the bell curve associated with the  $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$  distribution, the limits can be obtained as:

#### LOWER LIMIT: =NORMINV $(0.025, \mu, \sigma)$

#### UPPER LIMIT: =NORMINV $(0.975, \mu, \sigma)$

In the case  $\mu = 120$  and  $\sigma^2 = 16$ ,

#### LOWER LIMIT: =NORMINV(0.025, 120, 4) = 112.16

#### UPPER LIMIT: =NORMINV(0.975, 120, 4) = 127.84

The following graph depicts the interval (112.16, 127.84) which contains 95% of the area under the bell curve:



Now we consider how to use the mean  $\overline{x}$  of a random sample to estimate the population mean  $\mu$ .

Now we consider how to use the mean  $\overline{x}$  of a random sample to estimate the population mean  $\mu$ .

Note that  $\overline{x}$  is a *random variable* and as such it usually has a density function, expected value, variance, cdf, and any of the other constructs associated with random variables.

Now we consider how to use the mean  $\overline{x}$  of a random sample to estimate the population mean  $\mu$ .

Note that  $\overline{x}$  is a *random variable* and as such it usually has a density function, expected value, variance, cdf, and any of the other constructs associated with random variables.

Suppose

$$x = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$$

represents a random sample from some population.

By the definition of a random sample, the individual random variables  $x_i$  that make up x are:

- Independent
- Identically distributed (i.e., they all have the same pdf)

By the definition of a random sample, the individual random variables  $x_i$  that make up x are:

- Independent
- Identically distributed (i.e., they all have the same pdf)

The previous two conditions are sometimes abreviated "IID" or "Independent, identically distributed".

Suppose the random variables  $x_i$  have:

$$\mathsf{E}(x_i) = \mu$$
 and  $\mathsf{Var}(x_i) = \sigma^2$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ 

Suppose the random variables  $x_i$  have:

$$\mathsf{E}(x_i) = \mu$$
 and  $\mathsf{Var}(x_i) = \sigma^2$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ 

Then the vector of expected values and variance-covariance matrix associated with the joint distribution of the  $x_i$  is:

$$\vec{\mu} = \begin{bmatrix} \mu \\ \mu \\ \vdots \\ \mu \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad V = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma^2 & & \\ & \sigma^2 & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \sigma^2 \end{bmatrix} = \sigma^2 I$$

The sample mean  $\overline{x}$  is a linear combination t'x of the  $x_i$ , with

$$t = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{n} \\ \frac{1}{n} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{1}{n} \end{bmatrix}$$

The sample mean  $\overline{x}$  is a linear combination t'x of the  $x_i$ , with

$$t = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{n} \\ \frac{1}{n} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{1}{n} \end{bmatrix}$$

From an earlier result, the expected value of  $\overline{x}$  is:

$$\mathsf{E}(\overline{x}) = t' \vec{\mu} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{n} \mu = \mu$$

The variance of  $\overline{x}$  is:

$$\operatorname{Var}(\overline{x}) = t'Vt = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\sigma^2}{n} \cdot n = \frac{\sigma^2}{n}$$

The variance of  $\overline{x}$  is:

$$\operatorname{Var}(\overline{x}) = t'Vt = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\sigma^2}{n} \cdot n = \frac{\sigma^2}{n}$$

These results hold as long as the expected values and variances of the  $x_i$  exist.

They **do not** require any assumptions about their distributions.

However, if the  $x_i$  are normally distributed, that is,

$$x_i \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2), \quad i = 1, \dots, n$$

then

$$\overline{x} \sim N(\mu, \frac{\sigma^2}{n})$$

However, if the  $x_i$  are normally distributed, that is,

$$x_i \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2), \quad i = 1, \dots, n$$

then

$$\overline{x} \sim N(\mu, \frac{\sigma^2}{n})$$

This distribution is the basis for constructing confidence intervals for the parameter  $\mu$ .

Theorem: If

$$x_i \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2), \quad i = 1, \dots, n$$

then 95% of the time the interval

$$\left[\overline{x} - 1.96\sqrt{\frac{\sigma^2}{n}}, \overline{x} + 1.96\sqrt{\frac{\sigma^2}{n}}\right]$$

will contain the (unknown) population mean  $\mu$ .

**Theorem**: More generally, for  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ , if

$$x_i \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2), \quad i = 1, \dots, n$$

then  $100(1-\alpha)\%$  of the time the interval

$$\left[\overline{x} - z_{\alpha/2}\sqrt{\frac{\sigma^2}{n}}, \overline{x} + z_{\alpha/2}\sqrt{\frac{\sigma^2}{n}}\right]$$

will contain the (unknown) population mean  $\mu$ .

**Theorem**: More generally, for  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ , if

$$x_i \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2), \quad i = 1, \dots, n$$

then  $100(1-\alpha)\%$  of the time the interval

$$\left[\overline{x} - z_{\alpha/2}\sqrt{\frac{\sigma^2}{n}}, \overline{x} + z_{\alpha/2}\sqrt{\frac{\sigma^2}{n}}\right]$$

will contain the (unknown) population mean  $\mu$ . Here

$$z_{\alpha/2}$$
 =NORMSINV $(1 - \alpha/2)$ 

Example: Suppose x is a random sample of size 16 from a normal population with *known* variance  $Var(x_i) = 4, \quad i = 1, ..., n.$ 

If the sample mean  $\overline{x}$  is 13.5, construct a 95% confidence interval for the population mean  $\mu$ .

Example: Suppose x is a random sample of size 16 from a normal population with *known* variance  $Var(x_i) = 4, \quad i = 1, ..., n.$ 

If the sample mean  $\overline{x}$  is 13.5, construct a 95% confidence interval for the population mean  $\mu$ .

Here  $\alpha=0.05$  and

$$z_{\alpha/2}$$
 =NORMSINV $(1 - 0.05/2) = 1.96$ 

The 95% confidence interval for the population mean  $\mu$  is:

$$\left[\overline{x} - z_{\alpha/2}\sqrt{\frac{\sigma^2}{n}}, \overline{x} + z_{\alpha/2}\sqrt{\frac{\sigma^2}{n}}\right]$$

The 95% confidence interval for the population mean  $\mu$  is:

$$\left[\overline{x} - z_{\alpha/2}\sqrt{\frac{\sigma^2}{n}}, \overline{x} + z_{\alpha/2}\sqrt{\frac{\sigma^2}{n}}\right]$$

$$= \left[13.5 - 1.96\sqrt{\frac{4}{16}}, 13.5 + 1.96\sqrt{\frac{4}{16}}\right]$$

The 95% confidence interval for the population mean  $\mu$  is:

$$\left[\overline{x} - z_{\alpha/2}\sqrt{\frac{\sigma^2}{n}}, \overline{x} + z_{\alpha/2}\sqrt{\frac{\sigma^2}{n}}\right]$$

$$= \left[13.5 - 1.96\sqrt{\frac{4}{16}}, 13.5 + 1.96\sqrt{\frac{4}{16}}\right]$$

$$= \left[13.5 - \frac{1.96}{2}, 13.5 + \frac{1.96}{2}\right] = \left[12.52, 14.48\right]$$

The interpretation is that we are 95% sure that the interval [12.52,14.48] contains the unknown population mean  $\mu$ .

The interpretation is that we are 95% sure that the interval [12.52,14.48] contains the unknown population mean  $\mu$ .

More precisely, if we repeated the experiment of drawing a sample of 16 many times and calculated a confidence interval each time, 19 out of 20 of those intervals would contain  $\mu$ .

The interpretation is that we are 95% sure that the interval [12.52,14.48] contains the unknown population mean  $\mu$ .

More precisely, if we repeated the experiment of drawing a sample of 16 many times and calculated a confidence interval each time, 19 out of 20 of those intervals would contain  $\mu$ .

For an individual sample mean, we have no idea whether the interval contains  $\mu$  or not.