# Proof that $im(\mathbb{R}^m)$ is a Subspace of $\mathbb{R}^n$

Gene Quinn

Suppose

$$T:\mathbb{R}^m\to\mathbb{R}^n$$

is a linear transformation with domain  $\mathbb{R}^m$  and codomain  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

Suppose

 $T: \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^n$ 

is a linear transformation with domain  $\mathbb{R}^m$  and codomain  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . In this situation, the *image* under *T* of  $\mathbb{R}^m$  is defined as:

 $im(T) = \{ \vec{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n : \exists \vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m \text{ such that } T(\vec{x}) = \vec{y} \}$ 

Suppose

 $T: \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^n$ 

is a linear transformation with domain  $\mathbb{R}^m$  and codomain  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . In this situation, the *image* under *T* of  $\mathbb{R}^m$  is defined as:

 $im(T) = \{ \vec{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n : \exists \vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m \text{ such that } T(\vec{x}) = \vec{y} \}$ 

The set-builder notation would be read as "The set of all vectors  $\vec{y}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  for which there exists a vector  $\vec{x}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^m$  such that  $T(\vec{x}) = \vec{y}$  ".

#### Theorem: For any linear transformation

 $T: \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^n,$ 

the image under T of  $\mathbb{R}^m$ , im(T), is a subspace (of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ).

Theorem: For any linear transformation

 $T: \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^n,$ 

the image under T of  $\mathbb{R}^m$ , im(T), is a subspace (of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ).

Proof: In order to prove that im(T) is a subspace, we must establish the following three claims:

• 
$$\vec{0}_n \in im(T)$$

- im(T) is closed under addition
- im(T) is closed under scalar multiplication

Claim 1:  $\vec{0}_n \in im(T)$ 

Proof of Claim 1: By an earlier theorem, there exists an  $n \times m$  matrix A with the property that

$$T(\vec{x}) = A\vec{x} \quad \forall \vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m$$

Claim 1:  $\vec{0}_n \in im(T)$ 

Proof of Claim 1: By an earlier theorem, there exists an  $n \times m$  matrix A with the property that

$$T(\vec{x}) = A\vec{x} \quad \forall \vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m$$

Let  $\vec{x}$  be the zero vector in  $\mathbb{R}^m$ ,  $\vec{0}_m$ .

Then by the properties of matrix multiplication,

$$A\vec{0}_m = \vec{0}_n$$

for any  $n \times m$  matrix A. Therefore,  $A\vec{0}_m = T(\vec{0}_m) = \vec{0}_n$ , and so by definition  $\vec{0}_n$  is in im(T).

Claim 2: im(T) is closed under addition.

Proof of Claim 2: Let  $\vec{u}, \vec{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  be arbitrary elements of im(T). We need to show that  $\vec{u} + \vec{v} \in im(T)$ .

Claim 2: im(T) is closed under addition.

Proof of Claim 2: Let  $\vec{u}, \vec{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  be arbitrary elements of im(T). We need to show that  $\vec{u} + \vec{v} \in im(T)$ .

By the definition of im(T), there are vectors  $\vec{x}, \vec{y} \in \mathbb{R}^m$  such that

 $T(\vec{x}) = A\vec{x} = \vec{u}$  and  $T(\vec{y}) = A\vec{y} = \vec{v}$ 

Claim 2: im(T) is closed under addition.

Proof of Claim 2: Let  $\vec{u}, \vec{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  be arbitrary elements of im(T). We need to show that  $\vec{u} + \vec{v} \in im(T)$ .

By the definition of im(T), there are vectors  $\vec{x}, \vec{y} \in \mathbb{R}^m$  such that

 $T(\vec{x}) = A\vec{x} = \vec{u}$  and  $T(\vec{y}) = A\vec{y} = \vec{v}$ 

Since  $\mathbb{R}^m$  is a subspace of itself, it is closed under addition, and therefore  $\vec{x} + \vec{y} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ .

Claim 2: im(T) is closed under addition.

Proof of Claim 2: Let  $\vec{u}, \vec{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  be arbitrary elements of im(T). We need to show that  $\vec{u} + \vec{v} \in im(T)$ .

By the definition of im(T), there are vectors  $\vec{x}, \vec{y} \in \mathbb{R}^m$  such that

 $T(\vec{x}) = A\vec{x} = \vec{u}$  and  $T(\vec{y}) = A\vec{y} = \vec{v}$ 

Since  $\mathbb{R}^m$  is a subspace of itself, it is closed under addition, and therefore  $\vec{x} + \vec{y} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ .

By the properties of linear transformations,

 $T(\vec{x} + \vec{y}) = A(\vec{x} + \vec{y}) = A\vec{x} + A\vec{y} = \vec{u} + \vec{v}$ 

and therefore  $\vec{u} + \vec{v} \in im(T)$ .

Claim 3: im(T) is closed under scalar multiplication.

Proof of Claim 3: Let  $\vec{u} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  be an arbitrary element of im(T) and  $k \in \mathbb{R}$  an arbitrary scalar. We need to show that  $k\vec{u} \in im(T)$ .

Claim 3: im(T) is closed under scalar multiplication.

Proof of Claim 3: Let  $\vec{u} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  be an arbitrary element of im(T) and  $k \in \mathbb{R}$  an arbitrary scalar. We need to show that  $k\vec{u} \in im(T)$ .

By the definition of im(T), there is a vector  $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m$  such that

$$T(\vec{x}) = A\vec{x} = \vec{u}$$

Since  $\mathbb{R}^m$  is a subspace of itself, it is closed under scalar multiplication, and therefore for any real number  $k, k\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ .

Since  $\mathbb{R}^m$  is a subspace of itself, it is closed under scalar multiplication, and therefore for any real number  $k, k\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ .

By the properties of linear transformations,

$$T(k\vec{x}) = A(k\vec{x}) = kA\vec{x} = k\vec{u}$$

and therefore  $k\vec{u} \in im(T)$ .

Since  $\mathbb{R}^m$  is a subspace of itself, it is closed under scalar multiplication, and therefore for any real number  $k, k\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ .

By the properties of linear transformations,

$$T(k\vec{x}) = A(k\vec{x}) = kA\vec{x} = k\vec{u}$$

and therefore  $k\vec{u} \in im(T)$ .

This completes the proof that im(T) is a subspace.