

---

# Defining the Determinant using Permutations

Gene Quinn

# n-Permutations

---

**Definition:** An  $n$ -permutation is a list of the first  $n$  positive integers

$$\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$$

in any order.

# n-Permutations

---

**Definition:** An  $n$ -permutation is a list of the first  $n$  positive integers

$$\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$$

in any order.

The following are the 6 possible 3-permutations:

$$1, 2, 3 \quad 1, 3, 2 \quad 2, 1, 3 \quad 2, 3, 1 \quad 3, 1, 2 \quad 3, 2, 1$$

# n-Permutations

---

**Definition:** An  $n$ -permutation is a list of the first  $n$  positive integers

$$\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$$

in any order.

The following are the 6 possible 3-permutations:

$$1, 2, 3 \quad 1, 3, 2 \quad 2, 1, 3 \quad 2, 3, 1 \quad 3, 1, 2 \quad 3, 2, 1$$

In general, the number of different  $n$ -permutations is

$$n! = n \cdot (n - 1) \cdot (n - 2) \cdots 3 \cdot 2 \cdot 1$$

# n-Permutations

---

**Definition:** Construct an ordered pair  $(a, b)$  consisting of any two elements from an  $n$ -permutation, written in the order that they appear in the  $n$ -permutation.

An **inversion** is an ordered pair constructed in this manner that has  $a > b$ .

# n-Permutations

---

**Definition:** Construct an ordered pair  $(a, b)$  consisting of any two elements from an  $n$ -permutation, written in the order that they appear in the  $n$ -permutation.

An **inversion** is an ordered pair constructed in this manner that has  $a > b$ .

Given the 4-permutation 3, 1, 2, 4, we can construct

$$\binom{4}{2} = \frac{4!}{2!(4-2)!} = \frac{4 \cdot 3}{2 \cdot 1} = 6$$

ordered pairs (preserving the order in the 4-permutation):

$$(3, 1) (3, 2) (3, 4) (1, 2) (1, 4) (2, 4);$$

# n-Permutations

---

Among the 6 ordered pairs  $(a, b)$  constructed from the 4-permutation 3, 1, 2, 4,

$$(3, 1) (3, 2) (3, 4) (1, 2) (1, 4) (2, 4);$$

the first and second are inversions because  $b > a$ .

# n-Permutations

---

Among the 6 ordered pairs  $(a, b)$  constructed from the 4-permutation 3, 1, 2, 4,

$$(3, 1) (3, 2) (3, 4) (1, 2) (1, 4) (2, 4);$$

the first and second are inversions because  $b > a$ .

We say that the 4-permutation 3, 1, 2, 4 *has two inversions*.



# n-Permutations

---

Among the 10 ordered pairs  $(a, b)$  constructed from the 5-permutation 3, 1, 5, 2, 4,

$(3, 1)$   $(3, 5)$   $(3, 2)$   $(3, 4)$   $(1, 5)$   $(1, 2)$   $(1, 4)$   $(5, 2)$   $(5, 4)$   $(2, 4)$

the first, third, eighth, and ninth are inversions because  $b > a$ .

# n-Permutations

---

Among the 10 ordered pairs  $(a, b)$  constructed from the 5-permutation 3, 1, 5, 2, 4,

$(3, 1)$   $(3, 5)$   $(3, 2)$   $(3, 4)$   $(1, 5)$   $(1, 2)$   $(1, 4)$   $(5, 2)$   $(5, 4)$   $(2, 4)$

the first, third, eighth, and ninth are inversions because  $b > a$ .

We say that the 5-permutation 3, 1, 5, 2, 4 *has four inversions*.

# n-Permutations

---

For an  $n \times n$  matrix  $A$ , consider the set of all possible products of the form

$$a_{1j_1} a_{2j_2} \cdots a_{nj_n}$$

where  $j_1, j_2, \dots, j_n$  is an  $n$ -permutation.

# n-Permutations

---

For an  $n \times n$  matrix  $A$ , consider the set of all possible products of the form

$$a_{1j_1} a_{2j_2} \cdots a_{nj_n}$$

where  $j_1, j_2, \dots, j_n$  is an  $n$ -permutation.

Notice that this is the set of all products we can form by choosing  $n$  elements from  $A$  so that:

- exactly one element is chosen from each row
- exactly one element is chosen from each column

# The Determinant and Permutations

---

**Theorem:** For any  $n \times n$  matrix  $A$ ,

$$\det(A) = \sum \pm a_{1j_1} a_{2j_2} \cdots a_{nj_n}$$

where:

- The sum is taken over all possible  $n$ -permutations  $j_1, j_2, \dots, j_n$
- The sign is taken to be  $+$  if  $j_1, j_2, \dots, j_n$  has an even number of inversions
- The sign is taken to be  $-$  if  $j_1, j_2, \dots, j_n$  has an odd number of inversions

# The Determinant and Permutations

---

**Example:** For  $n = 2$ , there are exactly two 2-permutations,

$$(1, 2) \quad (2, 1)$$

# The Determinant and Permutations

---

**Example:** For  $n = 2$ , there are exactly two 2-permutations,

$$(1, 2) \quad (2, 1)$$

The first has zero inversions, the second has one.

By the previous theorem, the determinant of a  $2 \times 2$  matrix  $A$  is:

$$\det(A) = (+1)a_{11}a_{22} + (-1)a_{12}a_{21} = a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}a_{21}$$

# The Determinant and Permutations

---

**Example:** For  $n = 2$ , there are exactly two 2-permutations,

$$(1, 2) \quad (2, 1)$$

The first has zero inversions, the second has one.

By the previous theorem, the determinant of a  $2 \times 2$  matrix  $A$  is:

$$\det(A) = (+1)a_{11}a_{22} + (-1)a_{12}a_{21} = a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}a_{21}$$

This is equivalent to the formula  $ad - bc$  we have been using.



# The Determinant and Permutations

---

**Example:** For  $n = 3$ , there are exactly six 3-permutations,

1, 2, 3    1, 3, 2    2, 1, 3    2, 3, 1    3, 1, 2    3, 2, 1

# The Determinant and Permutations

---

**Example:** For  $n = 3$ , there are exactly six 3-permutations,

1, 2, 3    1, 3, 2    2, 1, 3    2, 3, 1    3, 1, 2    3, 2, 1

The inversions for the six 3-permutations are, respectively, 0, 1, 1, 2, 2, and 3, so the associated signs are +, -, -, +, +, -.

By the previous theorem, the determinant of a  $3 \times 3$  matrix  $A$  is:

$$\begin{aligned} \det(A) = & (+1)a_{11}a_{22}a_{33} + (-1)a_{11}a_{23}a_{32} + (-1)a_{12}a_{21}a_{33} \\ & + (+1)a_{12}a_{23}a_{31} + (+1)a_{13}a_{21}a_{32} + (-1)a_{13}a_{22}a_{31} \end{aligned}$$

# The Determinant and Permutations

---

**Example:** For  $n = 3$ , there are exactly six 3-permutations,

$$1, 2, 3 \quad 1, 3, 2 \quad 2, 1, 3 \quad 2, 3, 1 \quad 3, 1, 2 \quad 3, 2, 1$$

The inversions for the six 3-permutations are, respectively, 0, 1, 1, 2, 2, and 3, so the associated signs are +, -, -, +, +, -.

By the previous theorem, the determinant of a  $3 \times 3$  matrix  $A$  is:

$$\begin{aligned} \det(A) = & (+1)a_{11}a_{22}a_{33} + (-1)a_{11}a_{23}a_{32} + (-1)a_{12}a_{21}a_{33} \\ & + (+1)a_{12}a_{23}a_{31} + (+1)a_{13}a_{21}a_{32} + (-1)a_{13}a_{22}a_{31} \end{aligned}$$

This formula is equivalent to Sarrus's rule (p. 248)